UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,788	03/21/2006	Sacha Felder	3560	3406
7590 06/24/2011 STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			EXAMINER	
103 EAST NEC		DEXTER, CLARK F		
HUNTINGTON, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/572,788	FELDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CLARK F. DEXTER	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	ugust 2010					
	s action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> =		secution as to the me	rite ie			
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in accordance with the practice and i	expanse addyro, rede e.b. 11, re	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-36</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-31 and 33-36</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
,,	'					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	e have been received					
		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ателі друпсаноп				
	, _					

Application/Control Number: 10/572,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 10, 2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Art Unit: 3724

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 22-31 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rice, pn 3,949,817 in view of Meyer et al., pn 4,707,921.

Regarding claim 22 and the claims dependent therefrom, Rice discloses a tool with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

a motor (e.g., the motor of 3), a housing (e.g., 1) accommodating said motor (e.g., it "accommodates" the motor to at least some extent), a first operating switch (e.g., 5) for turning the power tool on and off, a second operating switch (e.g., 7) for turning the power tool on and off, and means (e.g., 26) for mechanically coupling the first operating switch and the second operating switch, said coupling means including a flexible connecting element (e.g., 26), and a slanted surface (e.g., formed by and within 27) which is provided in said housing and over which the flexible element is flexibly guided with a surface contact therebetween;

[claim 23] wherein the slanted surface is curved, and the flexible connecting element is configured so that it is curved and flexibly guided on the slanted surface (e.g., see the structure of 27 located below numeral 27 as viewed in Fig. 1);

[claim 25] wherein the power tool includes a side handle (e.g., 23) and a top handle (e.g., 31), the first operating switch being located on the side handle, and the second operating switch being located on the top handle;

[claim 28] wherein the power tool includes the slanted surface (e.g., as stated in claim 18, formed by and within 27) for the connecting element;

Art Unit: 3724

[claim 29] wherein the first operating switch is connected with an adjusting slide (e.g., 6), the adjusting slide converting a motion of the first operating switch into an electrical variable;

[claim 31] wherein the first operating switch and the second operating switch are each directly fastened to the flexible connecting element;

[claim 33] wherein engagement of either one of the first and second operating switches will turn on the tool;

[claim 34] wherein the flexible connecting element is curved and defines an obtuse angle (e.g., see the structure of 27 located below numeral 27 as viewed in Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 35 and the claim dependent therefrom, Rice discloses a tool with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

a motor (e.g., the motor of 3), a housing (e.g., 1) accommodating said motor (e.g., it "accommodates" the motor to at least some extent), a first operating switch (e.g., 5) for turning the power tool on and off, a second operating switch (e.g., 7) for turning the power tool on and off, and means for mechanically coupling the first operating switch and the second operating switch, said coupling means including a flexible connecting element (e.g., 26) which is formed as a sheet element, and a ramp (e.g., formed by and within 27) over which the flexible connecting element is flexibly guided with a surface contact therebetween;

[claim 36] wherein the ramp is curved and the flexible connecting element is configured so that it is curved (e.g., see the structure of 27 located below numeral 27 as viewed in Fig. 1) and flexibly guided on the ramp.

Rice lacks the flexible connecting element being formed as a sheet element as set forth in claim 22 and further including the specifics of the sheet element as set forth in claim 27 as follows:

[claim 27] wherein the connecting element is made of sheet metal.

However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such force transmitting elements are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including increased stability and durability. As one example, Meyer et al. discloses such a force transmitting element in the form of sheet element; more specifically, flexible strip 36. Further, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is well within the skill level of one having ordinary skill in the art to make the sheet element from any of the well known and readily available stock materials such as metal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide any one of the well known types of force transmitting elements including the sheet element disclosed by Meyer et al. to gain the well known benefits including those described above.

Regarding claims 24, 26 and 30, Rice discloses a tool with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but further lacks:

[claim 24] wherein the first operating switch and the second operating switch are positioned essentially at right angles to each other;

Application/Control Number: 10/572,788 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

[claim 26 (from 25)] wherein the side handle and the top handle transition into each other, thereby essentially forming a right angle, the first operating switch and the second operating switch being located on opposing surfaces within this angle; and

[claim 30] wherein the power tool is a jigsaw;

Regarding claims 24 and 26, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is old and well known in the art to orient handles at angles with respect to one another for various well known benefits including ergonomic benefits. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the extension handle of Rice at a right angle with respect to the chain saw handle (i.e., to provide the extension handle oriented horizontally rather than vertically) to gain the well known benefits including that described above.

Regarding claim 30, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the disclosed extension handle on any of the various known hand tools including a jigsaw to gain the benefits taught by Rice including remote operation and control of the tool.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 32 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 10, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is respectfully submitted that the prior art continues to teach

Art Unit: 3724

and/or suggest the claimed invention as set forth in claims 22, 35 and the claims dependent therefrom as described in detail in the prior art rejections above.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CLARK F. DEXTER whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Clark F. Dexter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724